

# [Chemotherapy Fraud - tumors grow faster after chemo!](#)

Article posted in NaturalNews.com, written by Jonathan Benson, staff writer January 24 2013 Link to the original article [here](#).

(NaturalNews) A team of researchers from Washington state had a giant “Oops!” moment recently when it accidentally uncovered the deadly truth about chemotherapy while investigating why prostate cancer cells are so difficult to eradicate using conventional treatment methods. As it turns out, chemotherapy does not actually treat or cure cancer at all, according to the study’s findings, but rather fuels the growth and spread of cancer cells, making them much harder to stamp out once chemotherapy has already been initiated.

You might call it the “smoking gun” that proves, once and for all, the complete fraud of the conventional cancer industry. Not only is chemotherapy, the standard method of cancer treatment today, a complete flop, based on the findings, but it is actually detrimental for patients with cancer.

Published in the journal Nature Medicine, the shock findings which, not surprisingly, are being ignored by the mainstream scientific community, highlight in full detail how chemotherapy causes healthy cells to release a protein that actually feeds cancer cells and causes them to thrive and proliferate.

According to the study, chemotherapy induces healthy cells to release WNT16B, a protein that helps promote cancer cell survival and growth. Chemotherapy also definitively damages the DNA of healthy cells, a long-term detriment that persists long after chemotherapy treatment is stopped. This combined action of healthy cell destruction and cancer cell promotion technically makes chemotherapy more of a cancer-causing protocol than a cancer-treatment protocol, by definition, a fact that should grab the attention of anyone personally familiar with having, or knowing someone else who has cancer.

“WNT16B, when secreted, would interact with nearby tumor cells and cause them to grow, invade, and importantly, resist subsequent therapy,” explained study co-author Peter Nelson from the Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center in Seattle, Washington, about the findings, which he dubbed “completely unexpected.” “Our results indicate that damage responses in benign cells ... may directly contribute to enhanced tumor growth kinetics,” added the entire team about what they observed.

Avoiding chemotherapy improves health outcomes, suggests research

What this means, for all intents and purposes, is that the entire process of chemotherapy is completely worthless, and is actually highly detrimental for cancer patients. Anyone searching for a real cure will want to avoid chemotherapy, in other words, and pursue an alternate route. This may include investigating alternative treatments like the Gerson Therapy (<http://www.naturalnews.com/Gerson.html>), or evaluating anti-cancer foods and nutrients like sodium bicarbonate, turmeric, high-dose vitamin C, and vitamin D.

“Whatever manipulations we’re doing to tumors can inadvertently do something to increase the tumor numbers to become more metastatic, which is what kills patients at the end of the day,” admitted Dr.

Raghu Kalluri, author of a similar study published last year in the journal *Cancer Cell*. This particular study found that cancer drugs, which are typically pushed alongside chemotherapy, cause tumors to metastasize.

---

## **Cancer industry total fraud exposed: Nearly all 'scientific' studies fail to be replicated**

*Recent article from NaturalNews.com*

By, by Jonathan Benson, staff writer - Link [here](#) for original post.

(NaturalNews) The vast majority of so-called scientific studies focused on cancer research are inaccurate and potentially fraudulent, suggests a new review published in the journal *Nature*. A shocking 88 percent of 53 “landmark” studies on cancer that have been published in reputable journals over the years cannot be reproduced, according to the review, which means that their conclusions are patently false.

C. Glenn Begley, a former head of global cancer research at drug giant Amgen and author of the review, was unable to replicate the findings of 47 of the 53 studies he examined. It appears as though researchers are simply **fabricating findings** that will garner attention and headlines rather than publishing what they actually discover, which helps them to maintain a steady stream of grant funding but deceives the public.

“These are the studies the pharmaceutical industry relies on to identify new targets for drug development,” said Begley about the false studies. “But if you’re going to place a \$1 million or \$2 million or \$5 million bet on an observation, you need to be sure it’s true. As we tried to reproduce these papers we became convinced you can’t take anything at face value.”

Begley says he cannot publish the names of the studies whose findings are false. But since it is now apparent that the vast majority of them are invalid, it only follows that the vast majority of modern approaches to cancer treatment are also invalid.

Back in 2009, researchers from the *University of Michigan’s Comprehensive Cancer Center* also published an analysis that revealed many popular cancer [studies](#) to be false. As can be expected, one of the primary causes of false results was determined to be conflicts of interest that tended to favor “findings” that worked out best for drug companies rather than for the people ([http://www.naturalnews.com/026314\\_cancer\\_research\\_studies.html](http://www.naturalnews.com/026314_cancer_research_studies.html)).

# Published research for other conditions also found to be invalid

The *Nature* study also confirms what was previously uncovered by Dr. George Robertson from *Dalhousie University*, who found the same inconsistencies in published research studies on Parkinson's disease and other neurodegenerative disorders. Just like with [cancer](#), it appears that the foundation upon which drugs for these conditions have been developed is fallacious as a result of phony research.

And scientists working for drug giant *Bayer* have run into similar problems in other areas as well, which they outlined in a 2011 paper entitled *Believe it or not*. According to their findings, much of the published data with which they were expected to develop new drugs could not be reproduced, either.

"The scientific community assumes that the claims in a preclinical study can be taken at face value," add Begley and his colleague Dr. Lee Ellis in their review. This published [research](#) also assumes that "the main message of [papers] can be relied on [...]. Unfortunately, this is not always the case."

Ironically, the only thing all these scientists have been able to successfully reproduce over the years is research showing that much of modern science is unsound. Whether it is funded by drug companies or by agenda-driven federal grants, the so-called "gold standard" of science has been debunked as a greed-driven myth.

---

## [The \(evil\) cancer industry exposed by medical doctors](#)

Original article posted by Jonathan Landsman, *NaturalNews.com* Link [here](#) to read original.

(NaturalNews) The World Health Organization has admitted that "Cancer is a leading cause of death worldwide". For nearly 40 years, the United States has spent over \$200 billion "trying" to find a cure - with no success. But, most doctors can't even tell you why (cancer) medicine is such a failure. (Hint: there's a hidden agenda)

Meet cancer expert - Nicholas Gonzalez, M.D. in a LIVE (audio) event exclusively for NaturalNews Inner Circle subscribers. Get your cancer questions answered plus much more! Visit: <http://www.naturalnews.com/innercirclespecial.html> to subscribe today.

### **Medical (business) truths about the cancer industry -**

Did you know the average conventional cancer treatment costs over \$300,000? Yet, most natural cancer remedies costs a few thousand dollars or less. So if cancer patients could effectively be treated for so much less money - why hasn't it been done?

Dr. Robert Atkins, one of the pioneers of complementary medicine in the United States, once said: "There is not one, but many cures for cancer available. But they are all being systematically suppressed by the ACS, the NCI and the major oncology centers. They have too much of an interest in the status quo."

Linus Pauling, one of the most important scientists of the 20th century and 2-time Nobel Prize winner gives us something to think about when he said: "Everybody should know that the 'war on cancer' is largely a fraud, and that the NCI and the ACS are derelict in their duties to the American people who support them."

### **Cancer news you won't hear on television -**

According to Dr. Nicholas Gonzalez and many other cancer experts, the "conventional medical world" really has NO interest in natural (nutritional) cures for cancer. The lame-stream media is corporately- controlled by its advertisers (e.g. Big Pharma) and medical research is ONLY funded by pharmaceutical companies. But the news gets worse!

According to Dr. Gonzalez, conventional oncology used to promote bone marrow transplants as a powerful high-tech treatment for women with advanced or poor prognosis breast cancer. In fact, by the mid-1990's, the medical community was so excited - they called this therapy "standard of care" - without any real evidence that it worked! A coordinated effort by oncologist, media and trial lawyers forced insurance companies to pay for this procedure, which cost up to \$450,000 per transplant.

There's only one BIG problem - 10-30 percent of those willing to undergo the treatment - died! (and that's not all)

### **Scientific fraud within the cancer industry -**

Thanks to a few honest oncologists - actual studies were performed to determine whether the bone marrow transplants actually helped women with breast cancer. Eventually, with great resistance, five studies were completed - four showed the therapy was no more effective than standard chemotherapy against breast cancer and one study ("very positive") was ultimately proven to be completely fraudulent!

Even Dr. Gonzalez has witnessed the underhanded tactics of cancer agencies, like the National Cancer Institute which undermined his successful methods of treating pancreatic cancer without radiation or chemotherapy.

---

## **Antibiotics Cause Cancer?**

Another interesting article by Kim Evans, posted on Huffington Post, April 15, 2009

Link [here](#) for original article.

Posted May 4, 2009 | 01:09 PM (EST)

Antibiotics are widely used by the medical establishment and even by animal farmers. In fact, these days more antibiotics are given to animals that are consumed than are distributed to people, which means that a lot of people are getting these drugs second-hand. And many people still believe that antibiotics are helpful; we've all heard stories of mothers and patients coming close to demanding them. But did you know that antibiotics can cause a great deal of long-term harm in the body? Let me explain...

Antibiotics kill bacteria in the body; it's commonly known and it's actually the reason they're taken. But what isn't widely known is that the body has healthy bacteria, called probiotics, lining our intestinal tract. These healthy bacteria, which should be in abundance in our guts, dine on unhealthy bacteria and yeasts in our bodies, serving to keep these problems in check for us.

Actually, these healthy bacteria form the basis of our immune system — or they did until we took antibiotics because antibiotics regularly kill our healthy bacteria. And that can set you up for numerous problems down the road — including some very serious problems.

A problem called candida, or candida overgrowth, is a [common fungal problem that develops after using antibiotics](#) without replenishing your healthy bacteria with probiotics. Now, that may sound like a small problem because you may not have heard of it, and therefore you may think it doesn't apply to you. But not when you understand two things.

First, an estimated 90 percent of the population has a problem with candida overgrowth, although most don't know it. And second, candida overgrowth can be the root cause of literally hundreds of different problems in the body.

The problems can be many for a couple of reasons. One, candida overgrowth is a fungus that can grow and nest in any number of areas in the body and it will generally cause problems wherever it is. And two, candida is a living breathing organism that, similar to how humans release carbon dioxide as a by-product of respiration, releases about 80 different chemicals as a by-product of its existence. All of those chemicals are toxic and one of them is chemically similar to formaldehyde. And every time you eat sugar or refined carbohydrates, you're feeding the overgrowth its favorite foods and giving it the fuel it needs to keep growing.

The symptoms of candida overgrowth can vary widely from person to person, but I'll give you a short list. Dandruff, eczema, headaches, allergies, rashes, acne, aches, pain, PMS, brain fog, sore muscles, fibromyalgia, anger, depression, and many, many, more can all be symptoms of this overgrowth. Remember, that's the short list. And depending on your diet, you may not see any symptoms until years after you've killed off your healthy bacteria.

It's also fascinating that an oncologist in Rome, Dr. Tullio Simoncini, says that [cancer is a fungus](#) and actually an advanced form of candida overgrowth. You can read more in his book, [Cancer is a Fungus](#), in which he scientifically explains that the cause of cancer "is always and only candida." Because Dr. Simoncini is having a great deal of success eliminating cancer in the body very quickly, I believe he's one to listen to.

In any case, if you take antibiotics it's incredibly important to replenish your healthy bacteria liberally afterward, while keeping sugar and refined carbohydrates at a bare minimum until these bacteria are replaced. These healthy bacteria were given to us as infants through breast milk for a reason, and they can also be consumed and replenished through supplements, quality yogurts, organic miso, and unpasteurized sauerkraut. Most people would do well to consume these foods regularly.

Kim Evans is the author of [Cleaning Up!](#) and creator of The Cleaning Up! Cleanse, a powerful body cleanse that addresses deep levels of toxicity in the body and common problems, including candida overgrowth.

---

## [The Breast Cancer Hoax Revealed](#)

This Article is copied directly from [Natural News](#) - All direct links to this article are posted within.  
By Jonathan Landsman

(NaturalNews) It's alarming how a growing number of young women are getting diagnosed with breast cancer - every year - throughout the world! But, there's more to this story than meets the eye - no thanks to the "controlled reality" of conventional media outlets.

[Click Here to learn more about the breast cancer hoax](#) by watching our short video or

Copy and paste the link below to watch "The Breast Cancer Hoax revealed" on tv.NaturalNews:  
<http://tv.naturalnews.com/v.asp?v=2C5BBDED498EA360F2A5C0FF727EB38A>

Did you know there is a tremendous amount of little known (suppressed) scientific research proving that woman can significantly reduce their risk of breast cancer and many other chronic diseases through natural therapies? In fact, there are literally thousands of medically documented and scientifically proven (natural) ways to cut your risk for disease.

Eating the right foods; participating in immune-enhancing activities; sleeping better and using herbs, supplements, meditation, detoxification techniques can produce powerful results. It's easier than you think!

The NaturalNews Talk Hour presents "Natural Solutions for Breast Cancer" with our special guest Christine Horner, M.D., nationally known surgeon, author, and professional speaker.

"Thank you all for these programs. Bless your heart! - Love and Peace, Marisa"

### **Former American Cancer Society doctor admits to being brainwashed**

For over 10 years, Dr. Christine Horner worked with the American Cancer Society. In fact, she was

taught to tell patients “we don’t know what causes [breast cancer](#), we don’t have any known cure for it, so the best thing women can do is get mammograms and breast exams in an effort to catch it early and save lives”. Does this previous statement trouble you – as much as it troubles me?

Since 1972, there’s been a war on drugs with over \$200 billion collected and the best thing conventional western medicine can say is “we don’t know what causes breast [cancer](#)”. I say it’s time to fire every cancer researcher (sponsored by big pharma) and recruit more capable healthcare professionals. Stop wasting our money!

Sadly, Dr. Horner lost her mother to breast cancer while following conventional medical wisdom including mammograms. Needless to say, her world was rocked and became highly-motivated to find a better way of treating disease.

The NaturalNews Talk Hour begins this Thursday evening at 6pm Pacific / 9pm Eastern, and registration is FREE. Click this link - <http://www.naturalhealth365.com> and enter your email for FREE show details + a FREE gift!

### **What is wrong with the American diet and lifestyle**

Epidemiological studies show that breast cancer rates are much higher in American women versus Asian women. Even when Asian women move to the United States – their risk goes up. In fact, according to scientific research, women that consume the most red meat create a 400 percent greater risk for getting breast cancer!

We need to dramatically improve the quality of our food choices and promote healthy living before it’s too late!

**This week’s guest: Christine Horner, M.D., nationally known surgeon, author, and professional speaker.**

### **Natural Solutions for Breast Cancer - Thu. Feb. 16**

Christine Horner, M.D. is a board certified and nationally recognized surgeon, author, professional speaker and a relentless champion for women’s health. She spearheaded legislation in the 1990s that made it mandatory that insurance companies pay for breast reconstruction following mastectomy.


She is the author of *Waking the Warrior Goddess: Dr Christine Horner’s Program to Protect Against and Fight Breast Cancer* winner of the Independent Book Publishers Award 2006 for “Best Book in Health, Medicine, and Nutrition”. Her book describes all the research-proven [natural](#) approaches – foods, herbs, spices, supplements, and activities – that dramatically lower the risk of breast cancer and help women who have this disease improve their chances of living a long healthy life.

**The breast cancer [hoax exposed!](#)** Join us – as we make history. It’s time to get the word out about the effectiveness of natural remedies for cancer and other chronic diseases. Share this news with your family and friends and help us improve the lives of millions of people.

---

# Cancer is Fungal

## Could it be that simple?



After 6 years of study focused on cancer it is hard to overlook that possibility or reality. It is well known that Candida, a fungal, always goes along with Cancer, but which is first? Oncologist Tullio Simoncini in his book [“Cancer is a Fungus”](#) goes into some detail and proof about this. His Cancer treatment is for Candida and is over 90% successful without chemo or radiation. So you could say that the argument is meaningless because his therapy works!

Fungal is a big variety of living things we put into a group but don't seem to know how they work. “A plant with a brain that can communicate” was one description that gets our attention so this is not just a simple weed. They can be microscopic or miles, yes miles, long....pretty big group.

Andreas Moritz, a gifted prolific researcher writer, says cancer is a blessing because it is the body's response to an overgrowth of an invader. The tumor is created by the body to contain the Cancer until it can eliminate it. So then the body's reaction is what we see and call Cancer. If that is so, then all the long names we put on different types of cancer are just different responses to the same invader.

Man wants to name things as if that is problem solving and when he has it identified he is puffed up and thinking stops in a way...at least the curiosity ends. Plus it loads up his memory leaving less for characteristics of the thing he is naming. If we can recite many complex names, that gives us an entrance into the elite scientific club. Today's medical system is based on diagnosis (name). Then turn the card over for the drug to prescribe. As a mechanic/engineer I have to always find the cause of the problem so medical thinking doesn't make sense to me. Rote thinkers versus problem solvers?

Max Gerson many years ago did well treating people with a juiced vegetable diet and gave no mention of knowing what he was fighting but it worked. Why? Because it created a “terrain” that a fungus couldn't thrive in.

Royal Rife, most gifted natural scientist, was the first person to see diseased microbes (small living things) 80 years ago with his amazing microscope. He confirmed visually that microbes change shape with very small changes in their environment. This was incredibly difficult for 1930



but he did it. He cured cancer with radio frequencies 100% in a very carefully documented study of 17 terminal patients.

He is unknown to MD's today. A young Doctor carefully researched his work after the lab closed and made an interesting comment on the Rife Video. "...the line between the different types of microbes may not exist"

Hulda Clark called cancer a parasite and caused it to "burst" with different electrical frequencies.

My conclusion is that the name means nothing unless there are all the characteristics associated with it. In fact, I propose, that all we have to know is what the "bug" likes and doesn't like and then we are in control and have found the answer. This is not a popular concept for the system..... but life saving if you have cancer yourself.

*Fred is an active health researcher specializing in natural ways to prevent and suppress cancer applying engineering problem solving skills to wellness challenges. You can read more about Fred's research in his book: ["Fighting Cancer, A Battle Plan For Hope"](#)*

---

## **Cut Poison Burn - The Documentary**

Cut Poison Burn is a controversial, eye opening, and sometimes heartbreaking documentary that puts the business of cancer treatment under the microscope. Follow the frustrating journeys of critically ill cancer patients as they try to navigate the confusing and dangerous maze of treatment and encounter formidable obstacles in the "cancer industrial complex." A compelling critique of the influence of medical monopolies, the power of pharmaceutical companies and government agencies, Cut Poison Burn is essential viewing for anyone and everyone touched by cancer.

***See more at their website : [Cutpoisonburn.org](http://Cutpoisonburn.org)***

View the Movie Trailer:

---

## **The Collapse of Health Care is Here?**

## Opinion -



How can this be with all of the hospitals, skilled staffs, drugs for everything, TV ads, fundraising for cancer in the hundreds of millions, law suits, and many more wellness related businesses?

I ponder this situation and can only conclude that all of these health related efforts are now businesses and in today's capitalistic morality... business is only there to make money, to make a profit and pay the stock holders, or staff ....no matter what happens to the patient... or child. Even the hospitals owned by the Sisters struggle to be ministries under pressure to survive financially...since so few can pay today.

The founders of our fragile government could never imagine companies becoming so rich, so profitable, that they can literally influence the government, the media, the universities, the hospitals, the insurance companies, the licensing boards, and..... your Doctor. A few lawyers saw this opportunity and are the highest paid of all with settlements in the millions. It looks like everyone is winning: How can this be? and collapse at the same time?

The well is running dry to water this huge operation. We exported most of our jobs off shore thanks to the global giants like Wal-Mart so we can have all of their products at lower cost to save us money. Maybe we don't need the stinky factories anymore to pollute the environment, or labor intensive dull jobs that pay minimum wage without insurance.

But the Health industry needs big money to survive and if people aren't working with insurance or pay taxes, something has to give..... and it is.... fast. The government can only keep printing/borrowing money to pay everyone. There is no other choice and still the poor don't have health/dental care and their only hope is to get on some disability or welfare.

Collapse is when you can't afford to go to the doctor, or you know he can't help you anyway.

Will we find a way out of this mess? Unlikely because who in health care wants to give up their big money and profits? Man doesn't like change if he is winning. The politicians are really just fund raisers to keep themselves going and are committed, or told, to never agree with the other side. Solving problems and taking action is not what they are able to do anymore.

There are a small percentage of citizens that educate themselves about wellness and do fine with almost no health care costs. More are realizing that it is up to them to be healthy and take responsibility or they will lose everything with a health crisis. Who is left? Well it comes down to us. Yes, you and me to do what ever we can to be, and help others to be, healthy in our community. Ask your physically and mentally vibrant friends what they are doing, especially the older ones who have no health problems....if you can find one.

Fred is an active health researcher specializing in natural ways to prevent and suppress cancer applying engineering problem solving skills to wellness challenges. He is also author of the book, [\*Fighting Cancer, A Battle Plan For Hope\*](#)

---

# Mega-dose vitamin C cures Leukemia

by Paul Fassa

(NaturalNews) An earlier internet story that went viral featured the saga of a New Zealand man, Alan Smith, in a **coma** on life support for **nine weeks**. Yet he **walked out of the hospital** after 13 days of **intense vitamin C** treatments. What's surprising is that only the beginning phase was high doses administered by IV. Read on.

Alan Smith's family had to coerce the hospital into administering it when the hospital staff announced **they were taking him off life support**. After two days of 50 grams daily with IV vitamin C, which produced a dramatic improvement, the doctors stopped IV C treatments completely and refused to continue IVC treatments.

Then the family discovered a special hybrid oral vitamin C supplement on the internet that **delivers C into cells even more effectively than mega dose IV C**. IVC delivers more C into the blood than the gut can handle orally. But less than 20% of that gets into the cells that need it. This hybrid oral vitamin C, which anyone can order online, has packets containing only two grams of vitamin C each (Source 1 below).

But **over 90%** of this new method slips vitamin C into the body's cells instead of getting excreted quickly. Alan's wife visited him daily with three packets of the new stuff, equaling six grams of vitamin C, after he was able to eat. Alan soon walked out of the hospital without assistance. He survived a coma from serious pneumonia complications and leukemia.

## How the unique vitamin C delivery system works

As you probably know, vitamin C is water soluble. But cell walls are made of fats, thus making C difficult to penetrate even if the blood is saturated. The new oral method is known as *liposomal encapsulated vitamin C*. It mixes ascorbic acid powder with lecithin on a nanoparticle level. The result is a gummy mixture of C "encapsulated" in fats.

Many health experts claim this mixture ensures cells get the vitamin C even better than mega dose IV C treatments. One of them is integrative MD Dr. Thomas Levy. Dr. Levy has been a proponent and administrator of IV C mega dosing for decades. At first he didn't believe liposomal encapsulated vitamin C could compete with mega dose IV treatments.

He discovered otherwise and came to the conclusion that encapsulated vitamin C was superior to IVC. Levy's words: "I subsequently realized that the liposome gave the ultimate bioavailability:

intracellular delivery, including the mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum, and even the nucleus... 2 to 6 packets daily covers most individuals for most situations.”

What’s great is **you can make your own encapsulated vitamin C**. Whether you buy it online or make it yourself, the quandary of finding someone who will administer curative mega IVC legally is over. And so is the expense. To maximize the vitamin C’s curative power, it must be administered daily for some time.

The oral product doesn’t cost much, but enough to motivate those who need it for extended periods to want to make their own.

Sugar messes with vitamin C and should be avoided while dosing C for curing infectious disease. High dose vitamin C helps treat cancer because of its H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production that kills cancer cells. Treating cancer may take more doses than usual, and may demand detox from coffee enemas while sticking to a strict “cancer diet.” (Sources below)

All you need is distilled water, high grade ascorbic acid, non-GMO lecithin granules, and a medium size **ultra sonic** jewelry cleaner. The jewelry cleaner creates the nanoparticles.

**Find out all you need to know on making your own here** ([http://8aloha.com/Liposomal\\_Vitamin\\_C.html](http://8aloha.com/Liposomal_Vitamin_C.html)).

#### **Sources for this article include:**

**(1)** Livon Labs: the people who make the product Alan Smith used

<http://www.livonlabs.com/cgi-bin/start.cgi/liposome-encapsulated/index.html>

Dr. Levy’s site, including a New Zealand “60 Minutes” clip of Alan Smith’s saga and recovery, **a must view.** <http://www.tomlevymd.com/video/video.html>

**Cancer diet** [http://www.cancertutor.com/AltTreatments/Alt\\_Diet.html](http://www.cancertutor.com/AltTreatments/Alt_Diet.html)

**Coffee enemas** [http://www.naturalnews.com/026289\\_coffee\\_enemas\\_enema.html](http://www.naturalnews.com/026289_coffee_enemas_enema.html)

More on encapsulated C <http://lewrockwell.com/sardi/sardi144.html>

#### **About the author:**

Paul Fassa is dedicated to warning others about the current corruption of food and medicine and guiding others toward a direction for better health with no restrictions on health freedom. You can visit his blog at <http://healthmaven.blogspot.com>

This report provided by:

## **Sacred Life Center**

Researching and Educating the Community concerning critical health issues

Including Cancer, Diabetes, Autism/Mercury, MS, Fluoride, Toxic Vaccinations

Fred Wittig 920-746-2121

# Can You Trust Chemotherapy to Cure Your Cancer?



by Andreas Moritz

(NaturalNews) Former White House press secretary Tony Snow died in July 2008 at the age of 53, following a series of chemotherapy treatments for colon cancer. In 2005, Snow had his colon removed and underwent six months of chemotherapy after being diagnosed with colon cancer. Two years later (2007), Snow underwent surgery to remove a growth in his abdominal area, near the site of the original cancer. “This is a very treatable condition,” said Dr. Allyson Ocean, a gastrointestinal oncologist at Weill Cornell Medical College. “Many patients, because of the therapies we have, are able to work and live full lives with quality while they’re being treated. Anyone who looks at this as a death sentence is wrong.” But of course we now know, Dr. Ocean was dead wrong.

The media headlines proclaimed Snow died from colon cancer, although they knew he didn’t have a colon anymore. Apparently, the malignant cancer had “returned” (from where?) and “spread” to the liver and elsewhere in his body. In actual fact, the colon surgery severely restricted his normal eliminative functions, thereby overburdening the liver and tissue fluids with toxic waste. The previous series of chemo-treatments inflamed and irreversibly damaged a large number of cells in his body, and also impaired his immune system — a perfect recipe for growing new cancers. Now unable to heal the causes of the original cancer (in addition to the newly created ones), Snow’s body developed new cancers in the liver and other parts of the body.

The mainstream media, of course, still insist Snow died from colon [cancer](#), thus perpetuating the myth that it is only the cancer that kills people, not the treatment. Nobody seems to raise the important point that it is extremely difficult for a cancer patient to actually heal from this condition while being subjected to the systemic poisons of [chemotherapy](#) and deadly radiation. If you are bitten by a poisonous snake and don’t get an antidote for it, isn’t it likely that your body becomes overwhelmed by the poison and, therefore, cannot function anymore?

Before Tony Snow began his chemo-treatments for his second colon cancer, he still looked healthy and strong. But after a few weeks into his [treatment](#), he started to develop a coarse voice, looked frail, turned gray and lost his hair. Did the cancer do all this to him? Certainly not. Cancer doesn’t do such a thing, but chemical poisoning does. He actually looked more ill than someone who has been bitten by a poisonous snake.

Does the mainstream media ever report about the overwhelming scientific evidence that shows

chemotherapy has zero benefits in the five-year survival rate of colon cancer [patients](#)? Or how many oncologists stand up for their cancer patients and protect them against chemotherapy treatment which they very well know can cause them to die far more quickly than if they received no treatment at all? Can you trustingly place your life into their hands when you know that most of them would not even consider chemotherapy for themselves if they were diagnosed with cancer? What do they know that you don't? The news is spreading fast that in the United States physician-caused fatalities now exceed 750,000 each year. Perhaps, many doctors no longer trust in what they practice, for good reasons.

"Most cancer patients in this country die of chemotherapy... Chemotherapy does not eliminate breast, colon or lung cancers. This fact has been documented for over a decade. Yet doctors still use chemotherapy for these tumors... Women with breast cancer are likely to die faster with chemo than without it." - Alan Levin, M.D.

An investigation by the Department of Radiation Oncology, Northern Sydney Cancer Centre, Australia, into the contribution of chemotherapy to 5-year survival in 22 major adult malignancies, showed startling results: The overall contribution of curative and adjuvant cytotoxic chemotherapy to 5-year survival in adults was estimated to be 2.3% in Australia and 2.1% in the USA." [Royal North Shore Hospital Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 2005 Jun;17(4):294.]

The research covered data from the Cancer Registry in Australia and the Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results in the USA for the year 1998. The current 5-year relative adult survival rate for cancer in Australia is over 60%, and no less than that in the USA. By comparison, a mere 2.3% contribution of chemotherapy to cancer survival does not justify the massive expense involved and the tremendous suffering patients experience because of severe, toxic side effects resulting from this treatment. With a meager success rate of 2.3%, selling chemotherapy as a medical treatment (instead of a scam), is one of the greatest fraudulent acts ever committed. The average chemotherapy earns the medical establishment a whopping \$300,000 to \$1,000,000 each year, and has so far earned those who promote this pseudo-medication (poison) over 1 trillion dollars. It's no surprise that the medical establishment tries to keep this scam alive for as long as possible.

In 1990, the highly respected German epidemiologist, Dr. Ulrich Abel from the Tumor Clinic of the University of Heidelberg, conducted the most comprehensive investigation of every major clinical study on chemotherapy drugs ever done. Abel contacted 350 medical centers and asked them to send him anything they had ever published on chemotherapy. He also reviewed and analyzed thousands of scientific articles published in the most prestigious medical journals. It took Abel several years to collect and evaluate the data. Abel's epidemiological study, which was published on August 10, 1991 in *The Lancet*, should have alerted every doctor and cancer patient about the risks of one of the most common treatments used for cancer and other diseases. In his paper, Abel came to the conclusion that the overall success rate of chemotherapy was "appalling." According to this report, there was no scientific evidence available in any existing study to show that chemotherapy can "extend in any appreciable way the lives of patients suffering from the most common organic cancers."

Abel points out that chemotherapy rarely improves the quality of life. He describes chemotherapy as "a scientific wasteland" and states that even though there is no scientific evidence that chemotherapy works, neither doctor nor patient is willing to give up on it. The mainstream media

has never reported on this hugely important study, which is hardly surprising, given the enormous vested interests of the groups that sponsor the media, that is, the pharmaceutical companies. A recent search turned up exactly zero reviews of Abel's work in American journals, even though it was published in 1990. I believe this is not because his work was unimportant — but because it is irrefutable.

The truth of the matter would be far too costly for the pharmaceutical industry to bear, thus making it unacceptable. If the mass media reported the truth that medical drugs, including chemotherapy drugs, are used to practically commit genocide in the U.S. and the world, their best sponsors (the pharmaceutical companies) would have to withdraw their misleading advertisements from the television media, radio stations, magazines, and newspapers. But neither group wants to go bankrupt.

Many doctors go as far as prescribing chemotherapy drugs to patients for malignancies that are far too advanced for surgery, with the full knowledge that there are no benefits at all. Yet they claim chemotherapy to be an effective cancer treatment, and their unsuspecting patients believe that "effective" equals "cure." The doctors, of course, refer to the FDA's definition of an "effective" drug, one which achieves a 50% or more reduction in tumor size for 28 days. They neglect to tell their patients that there is no correlation whatsoever between shrinking tumors for 28 days and curing the cancer or extending life. Temporary tumor shrinkage through chemotherapy has never been shown to cure cancer or to extend life. In other words, you can live with an untreated tumor for just as long as you would with one that has been shrunk or been eliminated by chemotherapy (or radiation).

Chemotherapy has never been shown to have curative effects for cancer. By contrast, the body can still cure itself, which it actually tries to do by developing cancer. Cancer is more a healing response than it is a disease. The "disease" is the body's attempt to cure itself of an existing imbalance. And sometimes, this healing response continues even if a person is subjected to chemotherapy (and/or radiation). Unfortunately, as the previously mentioned research has demonstrated, the chances for a real cure are greatly reduced when patients are treated with chemotherapy drugs.

The side effects of the treatment can be horrendous and heartbreaking for both patients and their loved ones, all in the name of trustworthy medical treatment. Although the drug treatment comes with the promise to improve the patient's quality of life, it is just common sense that a drug that makes them throw up and lose their hair, while wrecking their immune system, is doing the exact opposite. Chemo-therapy can give the patient life-threatening mouth sores. It attacks the immune system by destroying billions of immune cells (white blood cells). Its deadly poisons inflame every part of the body. The drugs can slough off the entire lining of their intestines. The most common side effect experienced among chemo patients is their complete lack of energy. The new additional drugs now given to many chemo patients may prevent the patient from noticing some of the side effects, but they hardly reduce the immensely destructive and suppressive effect of the chemotherapy itself. Remember, the reason chemotherapy can shrink some tumors is because it causes massive destruction in the body.

If you have cancer, you may think that feeling tired is just part of the disease. This rarely is the case. Feeling unusually tired is more likely due to anemia, a common side effect of most chemotherapy drugs. Chemo drugs can dramatically decrease your red blood cell levels, and this reduces oxygen

availability to the 60-100 trillion cells of your body. You can literally feel the energy being zapped from every cell of your body — a physical death without dying. Chemo-caused fatigue has a negative impact on day-to-day activities in 89% of all patients. With no energy, there can be no joy and no hope, and all bodily functions become subdued.

One long-term side effect is that these patients' bodies can no longer respond to nutritional or immune-strengthening approaches to cancerous tumors. All of this may explain why cancer patients who do not receive any treatment at all, have an up to four times higher remission rate than those who receive treatment. The sad thing is that chemotherapy does not cure 96% to 98% of all cancers anyway. Conclusive evidence (for the majority of cancers) that chemotherapy has any positive influence on survival or quality of life does not exist.

To promote chemotherapy as a treatment for cancer is misleading, to say the least. By permanently damaging the body's immune system and other important parts, chemo-therapy has become a leading cause of treatment-caused diseases such as heart disease, liver disease, intestinal diseases, diseases of the immune system, infections, brain diseases, pain disorders, and rapid aging.

Before committing themselves to being poisoned, cancer patients need to question their doctors and ask them to produce the research or evidence that shrinking a tumor actually translates to any increase in survival. If they tell you that chemotherapy is your best chance of surviving, you will know they are lying or are simply misinformed. As Abel's research clearly demonstrated, there is no such evidence anywhere to be found in the medical literature. Subjecting patients to chemotherapy robs them of a fair chance of finding or responding to a real cure and deserves criminal prosecution.

Andreas Moritz's book, *Cancer is not a Disease - It's a Survival Mechanism*, explains the root causes of cancer and how to eliminate them for good. Available through ([www.ener-chi.com](http://www.ener-chi.com)) or ([www.amazon.com](http://www.amazon.com)) .

Original Story [www.naturalnews.com/z023689.html](http://www.naturalnews.com/z023689.html)

---